

## PORTLAND TOWN COUNCIL

Council Offices  
52 Easton Street  
Portland  
DT5 1BT  
Tel: 01305 821638

E-mail: [office@portlandtowncouncil.gov.uk](mailto:office@portlandtowncouncil.gov.uk)

12<sup>th</sup> September 2017

Dear Councillor / Management Group Member

You are hereby summoned to attend a **MEETING** of the **NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**, to be held at the **EASTON METHODIST CHURCH HALL, EASTON** on **TUESDAY, 19<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2017** commencing at **7.00 pm**, when the business set out below will be transacted.

It is the Council's intention that all meetings of the Council and its committees be recorded aurally.

Yours faithfully

Ian Looker  
Town Clerk

## AGENDA

1. **Apologies for Absence** – to receive
2. **Declarations of Interest** – to receive any declarations from Councillors or Officers of pecuniary or non-pecuniary interests regarding matters to be considered at this meeting, together with a statement on the nature of those interests
3. **Minutes of the Meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> April 2017** (attached) – to agree and sign
4. **Notes from Subsequent Meetings** (attached) – to receive
5. **Matters Arising from the Minutes and Notes** – to consider
6. **Open Forum** – to receive questions and comments from the public regarding the Neighbourhood Plan
7. **Development Paper** – to receive a presentation and discuss (see <https://www.portlandplan.org.uk/2017/08/29/housing-employment-land-development-report/>)
8. **Policy Direction for Further Work** – to consider
9. **Local Green Space Review** – to receive a report (attached) and consider the recommendations on page 4
10. **Plan Timetable and Budget** – to receive an update
11. **Accessibility Action Plan Consultation** – to note the plan and consultation (see attached)
12. **Exclusion of Press and Public** (discretionary)  
“That pursuant to the provisions of Section 1(2) of the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for Agenda Item(s) ... by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.”
13. **Date of Next Meeting** – to agree

The next meeting is scheduled to be on Wednesday, 8<sup>th</sup> November 2017 at Peter Trim Hall, St. George's Centre, Reforne, starting at 7.00pm.

**PORTLAND TOWN COUNCIL  
MINUTES OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN  
MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING  
HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 12<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2017 AT 7.00 PM  
IN THE PETER TRIM HALL, ST GEORGE'S CENTRE**

**PRESENT:** Councillors J. Draper (Chairman), Ms J. Atwell, Mrs S. Cocking, Mrs S. Reynolds and R. Wild, together with Andy Matthews (Working Group)

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Ian Looker (Town Clerk)

**334 – APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies were received from Cllrs C. Flack, C. Gover and D. Symes, together with Leo Henley Lock (Dorset Wildlife Trust).

**335 – DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Mr Matthews declared non-pecuniary interests in the MEMO project and Portland Community Partnership.

**336 – MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 8<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2017**

The minutes were formally agreed and signed as a correct record.

**337 – MINUTE UPDATE AND MATTERS ARISING**

**Minute 328 – Open Forum**

Mr Matthews reported that the Living and Learning review was progressing.

**338 – OPEN FORUM**

There was no comment.

**339 – LOCAL PLAN REVIEW AND CONSULTATION**

Mr Matthews said there had been no response to his draft submission to the Local Plan review, which he had then forwarded to the Borough. It would also be used as an evidence base to support grant applications.

**340 – HERITAGE AND CHARACTER STUDY**

The draft version had been sent out and comment returned from the Working Group, so now the final version was awaited. There were issues to be resolved around the use of land at the Port. Mr Matthews detailed how the Study would be made available to the public. Three broad questions were to accompany it in order to gauge public opinion.

**RESOLVED** – that the Committee allocate up to £50 to provide extra copies of the Study, to include at least the Council Offices, Library, Osprey Leisure Centre and one reserve copy.

**341 – PLAN UPDATE AND PROJECT BUDGET**

Cllr Draper explained that they were following the Locality suggestion to identify sites for development, some of which he and Mr Matthews had already looked at, others still to be added, but with professional advice on how

they might be used.

Regarding the Plan budget Mr Matthews said that £3,000 could be carried forward from the previous financial year as reserve release. A further grant application of £4,500 had been submitted, which would cover ten days' work by the consultant to the end of October.

It was proposed by Cllr Draper and **RESOLVED** – that this grant be used to fund the additional work by Paul Weston.

**342 – FORMAT OF NEXT MEETING**

This would be an informal workshop.

**343 – HOUSING NEED (Additional Item)**

Cllr Wild passed on comments made at a DAPTC meeting about the possibility of compiling a register of local people in need of housing accommodation, either to buy or rent.

**344 – DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting will take place on Wednesday, 10<sup>th</sup> May 2017, to be held in Easton Methodist Church Hall and the next Committee meeting on Wednesday, 12<sup>th</sup> July, venue not yet confirmed, both starting at 7.00 pm.

The meeting ended at 7.55 pm.

Signed: .....  
(Chairman)

Date: .....

## NOTES FROM SUBSEQUENT MEETINGS

### Workshop Meeting, 10<sup>th</sup> May 2017

In Attendance : Andy Matthews(AM), Sue Cocking (SC), Sandra Reynolds (SR), Josie Butcher (JB), Katherine Garcia (GC), Chris Wakefield (CW), Charlie Flack (CF), Jo Atwell (JA), Rod Wild (RW)

Apologies : Jim Draper(JD)

In Jim Draper's absence AM was asked to chair the meeting.

#### **Item 1 – Housing Need**

RW introduced the item by referring to a DAPTC meeting where a senior officer from the Dorset Council's Partnership had recommended town and parish councils to construct a list of local housing need which would capture candidates not shown on the housing register and the type of housing required.

Discussion took place about the need to define 'local' and the current position of housing need numbers and the potential imposition of target numbers to meet an overall assessed demand.

It was generally agreed that a proactive approach to collating such information would help in managing/refining any centrally calculated figures.

Further discussion then took place about the role of creating a Local Land Trust which would help with focusing development on local need. AM updated the group on two current local initiatives via the Portland Community Partnership and also funding which had been assigned to WPBC around the formation and use of Land Trust . The latterly was particularly referenced to areas where there was a sensitivity around the expansion of second homes. CW offered information around the work of a Land Trust in the Boscombe area and provided AM with contact details. AM also reminded the group of the proposed draft policies within our Neighbourhood Plan which also cover a number of these areas.

It was agreed that AM would research

- Further details of the various housing need numbers being proposed.
- Current arrangements/policy wording to define 'local'.
- Any examples of a housing need register being collated by a Town or Parish Council.

and report back for further agreement.

#### **2) Updating Plan Evidence**

In the absence of JD this item was not discussed in detail but deferred to the next meeting. AM reminded the group of the work undertaken following the presentation by Tara Hansford (DCC) to the Marine , Environment and Tourism Partnership and also they he was aware of a proposed transport study which was looking at transport

needs of businesses on Portland which had been commissioned by DCC and he would think this would cover sustainable transport options and infrastructure.

### **3) Green Space Collation Process**

The group agreed the process and paper work attached as the basis to take this area forward. AM said he intended to discuss this with the Local Planning Authority to ensure the completion of the proposed Local Plan Proforma (Paper marked Annex) was as detailed as possible.

Discussion then took place around the Heritage and Character study which was part of the Technical support from AECOM/Locality and which would inform policy intentions around the larger green and open spaces. AM advised that he had received further correction requests. It had been intended to place this document on the web to receive further comments but at the moment this appeared to be being held by Locality but no reason given despite requests. In order to break the log jam AM requested authority from the group to see if it were possible to produce a local version of the study by converting the PDF into an editable form.

It was agreed that AM could progress this as well as discuss details of the Green and Open space collation with the Local Planning Authority.

### **4) Public Participation**

There was a general discussion about the history of the Local Plan development and the difficulty of progressing a Neighbourhood Plan against the continued uncertainty of planning numbers. The success of Neighbourhood Planning which had been picked up in the recent White Paper was referred to and the fact that housing supply was of cross party concern. The group were also reminded of the focus in our plan around supporting employment and training which would be picked up in agenda items 5 ,6 and 7.

### **5) Site Assessment Study**

Further technical support from AECOM had been approved. The group were made aware of the rationale of the basis of that support towards housing and employment. Further related papers were shared which set out projected housing numbers and potential work areas for the site assessments. AM advised that he was awaiting AECOMs agreement on what they considered to be 'within scope' and once this had been confirmed then at the same time discussions with the Local Planning Authority would be held to cover any out of scope aspects.

Copies of the supporting paperwork will be held on file by the Town Council.

### **6) Portland Port**

This item was linked to 5 as a major aspect of determining future employment numbers. The Port were prepared to discuss how their land area could be optimised to produce both heritage and green network improvements but to ensure their opportunity to maximise employment numbers nearer to those enjoyed whilst the MOD and Navy were here could be achieved. They had current land use proposals which they are considering but these may not produce anything like the historic numbers.

## **7) Local Regeneration**

The release of this paper was broadly welcomed as it confirmed the time spent on developing the Economic Vision for Portland as a major aspect of our Plan. In essence the paper addresses inherent structural issues and how Neighbourhood Planning can help with turning these around. The section on 'Managed decline' was particularly relevant to quote 'an approach to managed decline can arise from a narrow focus on housing demand, rather than considering regeneration on wide economic, social and environmental terms.

JB questioned how do we determine what comes first – housing or employment ? There was a general consensus that at the moment neither Local Authority appears to have proposals which match high level aims and objectives and we need to continually press for the aspirations of our community reflected in the Neighbourhood Plan evidence base to be supported better – hopefully under the new Unitary arrangements.

## **8) Date of Next Meeting**

This was set for Wednesday 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017 further details will follow. (This meeting was subsequently cancelled and operational issues during July and August 2017 referred to Planning And Highways Advisory as below)

### **Summary Details of Matters Taken to Planning and Highways Advisory Committee Meetings, July and August 2017**

Fuller details will be held as a manual record on file with these meeting notes.

**Meeting, 26<sup>th</sup> July 2017** – details of responses to Heritage and Character study reported and actions to be followed up on.

Draft site analysis received from AECOM outline details listed awaiting response from Local Planning Authority.

Paul (Weston) is using this together with other updates to construct a Draft Development and Growth Strategy Paper looking at housing and employment land.

**Meeting, 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2017** – Draft Development and Growth Paper (subject to some minor amendments) agreed to be placed in public domain with a view to discussion and agreement at the September Neighbourhood Plan meeting 19<sup>th</sup> September 2017

Site appraisal Study – comments submitted to AECOM awaiting agreed final draft

Green Space Review – details of areas scheduled , draft to be completed and agreed with Local Planning Authority for approval by Neighbourhood Plan Management Group.

Andy Matthews

# Portland Neighbourhood Plan - Local Green Space

## Purpose of Report

This report assesses the merit of various sites nominated for inclusion in the Neighbourhood Plan as local green space, to be subject to policy Port/CR02 in the Plan.

## Legislation

The NPPF gives town and parish councils the right to designate small local recreation and amenity areas that are of “*particular importance to the community*” as ‘local green spaces’ and give them protection in the Neighbourhood Plan. The NPPF states that the green space should be:

- in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;
- is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and
- is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.

In addition to these criteria, National Planning Practice Guidance states:

*“Local Green Space designation will rarely be appropriate where the land has planning permission for development. Exceptions could be where the development would be compatible with the reasons for designation or where planning permission is no longer capable of being implemented”.*

Other designations of land, such as green belt or conservation area status, do not necessarily preclude or support designation as local green space. But it is necessary to consider whether the additional designation is necessary and would serve a useful purpose.

## Candidate Sites

The long list of candidates for assessment as local green space was taken from the Portland Green Space Review, which categorised green areas on Portland according to the Borough Council’s typology. The table below shows the typology and how the sites were selected for further assessment. The full list of sites in each category can be found as Appendix B to this report.

1	Parks and Gardens	Included for assessment if the site his freely accessible by the public
2	Sports Fields	Excluded if the prime purpose is sport – and therefore subject to a more specific policy in the NP
3	Allotments and Community Gardens	Excluded if the prime purpose is horticulture - and therefore subject to a more specific policy in the NP
4	Children’s and Young People’s Play Areas	Excluded if part of a larger site or a ‘hard’ play-space only
5	Graveyards	Excluded if it is still in use as a graveyard
6	Heritage Paths	Excluded if it is an extensive track/path - and therefore subject to a more specific policy in the NP
7	Settings of Heritage Assets	Excluded, unless the site has amenity or recreation value in its own right
8	Community Greens	Included for assessment
9	Estate Greens	Excluded if the site(s) are incidental green space only - and therefore subject to a more specific policy in the NP

## Appraisal and Conclusions

Each of the selected sites has been subject to a survey and assessment. The results of this 'work', carried out in July and August 2017, is set out in a schedule for each site which can be found in Appendix A to this report (along with a location map in Appendix C). I have used the information contained on these schedules to consider whether each of the sites meets sufficient of the criteria demanded by the NPPF to merit a LGS designation.

The NPPF is quite stringent and specific in its criteria relating to local green space designation. It states that "*designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space*" (para. 77). There is now a significance body of 'evidence' of how they are being interpreted by examiners of neighbourhood plans. Some of the criteria have been tested subsequently in law.

As regards it being an **extensive tract** of land, the NPPG<sup>1</sup> states that there is no hard and fast rule about the size of a local green space. It is a judgment call therefore. But the NPPG goes on to emphasise that an LGS designation should only be used where "*the green area is not an extensive tract of land*". There is a no set maximum nor minimum size limit but the site needs to be 'local' in character. The NPPG also states that the blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate, particularly if designation is being used in a way that undermines the aim of plan-making i.e. identify sufficient land in suitable locations to meet identified development needs. We do know that 19 hectares of countryside close to Backwell near Bristol, was rejected by the Examiner as being an extensive tract of land for which no substantive or compelling evidence has been presented to justify its designation in the context of the NPPF criteria.

It is generally acknowledged that the application of criteria may differ between settlements depending on their physical size and population. Designated spaces should normally be fairly contained, with clearly defined edges. In applying this criterion to potential local green space on Portland, I have asked:

- does the space or combination of adjoining spaces 'feel' local in character and scale, in respect of the local community that the space serves?
- Is the proposed space larger than other areas of land in the vicinity?
- Is it contained with clearly defined edges?
- How does the space connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?

As for being in proximity to the community it serves, we are advised to apply the **reasonably close** test, which is another judgment call. If public access is a key factor influencing its consideration, the site should normally be within easy walking distance of the community it serves. This may vary depending on the size of the community to which the green space relates, the size of the green space or the value placed on it by the community. The land must not be isolated from the community. Some councils have introduced a maximum distance between the space and the community. For instance, Leicester has stated it must be within 400 metres, Central Bedfordshire, 600 metres. Using Natural England's standards, it could mean up to 1.25 miles.

The third test that needs to be applied is whether the site is **demonstrably special** to the local community and holds a particular **local significance**. The examples given in the NPPF are: "*because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife*". I have looked for a clear indication that the community cares about the future of the space as a facility or amenity for the community to enjoy. I have been cognisant too of the NPPG's advice that, how a local green space will be "*managed in the future is an important consideration, if the features that make it special or locally significant are to be conserved*".

---

<sup>1</sup> NPPG = National Planning Policy Guidance

The table below has been produced as a to summarise the assessment findings and my own conclusions, which are set out in more detail overleaf.

<b>Portland Local Green Space Analysis 2017</b>									
Site No.	Extensive tract of land?	In proximity to community it serves	Demonstrably Special for:						Should it be designated as a LGS?
			<i>beauty</i>	<i>Historical significance</i>	<i>Recreational value</i>	<i>Richness of wildlife</i>	<i>Tranquillity</i>	<i>Other</i>	
1a	No	Yes		✓	✓		✓		<b>Yes</b>
1b	No	Yes	✓	✓	✓		✓		<b>Yes</b>
1c	No	Yes	✓	✓			✓	✓	<b>Yes</b>
1d	No	No		✓					<b>No</b>
1e	No	Yes		✓			✓		<b>Yes</b>
3a	No	Yes						✓	<b>Yes</b>
3c	No	No			✓			✓	<b>?</b>
4a	No	Yes			✓				<b>Yes</b>
4f	No	Yes			✓				<b>Yes</b>
4i	No	Yes			✓				<b>Yes</b>
8a	No	Yes					✓		<b>?</b>
8b	No	Yes			✓			✓	<b>Yes</b>
8c	No	Yes	✓				✓		<b>Yes</b>
8d	Yes	No	✓			✓			<b>No</b>
8e	Yes	No							<b>No</b>
8f	Yes	No	✓	✓		✓			<b>No</b>
8fa	Yes	Yes			✓	✓			<b>No</b>
8g	No	Yes			✓				<b>Yes</b>
8ga	No	Yes		✓			✓		<b>?</b>
8h	No	Yes			✓				<b>?</b>

## Recommendations

Based on the survey and assessment, I make the following recommendations:

	Site	Recommendation	Reason
1a	Victoria Gardens	Accept	Prominent well-loved park, serving community for many years
1b	Easton Gardens	Accept	A well-used green oasis in the centre of the village
1c	Governor's Garden	Accept	A historical garden. Its renovation and maintenance symbolises community action and pride
1d	Portland Castle Gardens	Reject	A garden/parkland setting and car park for the Castle.
1e	Ladymead Garden	Accept	Attractive green pedestrian route with planting making good use of heritage site
3a	Chiswell Walled Garden	Accept	Community garden open for public enjoyment. Another symbol of community action.
3c	Incline Community Orchard	Consider/Reject	Has been created for the community but does it allow community access and involvement? Might be better treated as an allotment site
4a	Church Lane	Accept	Local site with fenced children's play area, benches and shrub beds
4f	Reap Lane	Accept	Grassed informal recreation area with well-equipped play area
4i	Westcliff Play Area	Accept	Grassed informal recreation area with well-equipped fenced play area serving local estate
8a	Liberty Road	Consider/Accept	Recently created site – is there evidence of community use/support?
8b	Victoria Square Entrance	Accept	Flat green area that provides for variety of community activities
8c	West Weares	Accept	Tranquil green cliff top area with full public access, well used by community
8d	New Ground	Reject	Extensive tract of land away from community – serves tourists. Candidate for 'settings/views' protection.
8e	Heights Market Area	Reject	Extensive area that has some commercial use. Candidate for 'settings/views' protection.
8f	Portland Bill Common	Reject	Extensive tract of land away from community – serves tourists. Candidate for 'settings/views' protection.
8fa	Portland Bill Greens	Reject	Series of green spaces primarily benefiting hut occupiers
8g	Weston Green	Accept	Amenity grassland that provides informal recreation space
8ga	Gooseberry Field	Consider/Reject	Historic site (possible Saxon farm). The location of an annual fete, which has not been held recently.
8h	Southwell Green	Consider/Reject	Site with football pitch and play area – could be LGS if sport is not prime function

## Appendix A - Nominated Site Appraisal Forms

Site No. 1a	General Information	
Name and address of site	<b>Victoria Gardens</b>	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Crown Estate	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained by WPBC and Friends Group	
Community served by the potential LGS	Underhill	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	Approx. 0.42 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, Victoria Gardens is a gateway green area from Victoria Square to Fortuneswell and Tophill	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Connecting green area. Within gardens is Children Play Area, Bowling Green, Tennis Courts, Basketball Net	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes, Underhill has limited amenity park space.	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Direct	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	Not directly more with general accessibility in Underhill area for those who live at the upper end	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals	Friends Group	
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups	Support within community consultations	
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	<a href="http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/victoria-gardens.html">http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/victoria-gardens.html</a>	

Site No. 1b	General Information	
Name and address of site	Easton Gardens	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Crown Estate	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained by WPBC	
Community served by the potential LGS	Easton/Tophill	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	Approx. 1.2 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, Easton Gardens are an integral part of the Easton Centre	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Child Play Area, Basketball Net. Friends Group	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes, provides gardens in the busy centre of the village	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Central to the neighbourhood	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals	Friends Group, Green Flag Designation	
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	<a href="http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/easton-gardens.html">http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/easton-gardens.html</a>	

Site No. 1c	General Information	
Name and address of site	Governor's Garden	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Ministry of Justice/Crown Estate	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Garden Area maintained mainly by Community Payback. Bowling Green by own group	
Community served by the potential LGS	Grove/Portland/	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	1.92 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	The gardens represent a green community space for the Grove community. It is now part of the redirected South West Coast Path	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Changing Spaces funding in 2009 raised awareness of public access.	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes. Bowling Green is used by Local Club	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Within an accessible walking distance	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups	<a href="http://www.portlandcommunitypartnership.co.uk/governors-garden">http://www.portlandcommunitypartnership.co.uk/governors-garden</a>	
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	<a href="http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/governors-community-garden.html">http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/governors-community-garden.html</a>	

Site No. 1d	General Information	
Name and address of site	Portland Castle Gardens	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	English Heritage	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained	
Community served by the potential LGS	Visitors to Castle area	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?		
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site		
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Part of designated listed building setting	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	The site is a distinct defined location – of Castle with Gardens on the approach to the Port	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	The Castle benefits from the garden setting	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Visitor destination for tourists and islanders	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	Yes. Gardens are open when castle is open to public.	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	<a href="http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/portland-castle.html">http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/portland-castle.html</a> see Governors Garden at end	

Site No. 1e	General Information	
Name and address of site	Ladymead Gardens	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Possibly WPBC	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained by WPBC	
Community served by the potential LGS	Adjacent to Ladymead mixed use housing complex Aster. Access point from Estates through to GP surgery and Tesco	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	0.18 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	The site is situated at the point of the previous Easton Railway Station and conveys an open gateway effect. The Court Leet have recently enhanced the site by installation of additional seating	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	As above	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Central	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	As above	

Site No. 3a	General Information	
Name and address of site	Chiswell Walled Garden	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Links to Chesil Community Trust	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained by volunteers	
Community served by the potential LGS	Chiswell and as a wider amenity	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan? If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	No N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	Approx. 0.02 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	See <a href="http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/chiswell-walled-garden.html">http://www.portlandhistory.co.uk/chiswell-walled-garden.html</a>	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	See website	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	See website	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Direct	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	Open at certain times	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Parish/Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders	See website and volunteers	
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	See website above and <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chiswell_Walled_Garden">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chiswell_Walled_Garden</a>	

Site No. 3c	General Information	
Name and address of site	Incline Community Orchard	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known		
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained as a community orchard	
Photographs of site	See over	
Community served by the potential Local Green Space	Verne Common/Underhill	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	0.84 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	The area was previously an allotment space. It was taken over by the Chiswell Community Trust and ran as a community orchard. However, the status of the Trust is currently unclear.	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?		
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	There is an absence of space for cultivation in Underhill	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Within walking distance	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No as such	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Parish/Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals	See link below	
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)		

Site No. 4a	General Information	
Name and address of site	<b>Church Lane Play Area</b>	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	WPBC	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Modern equipped play area with landscape scheme	
Community served by the potential LGS	Adjacent residential area	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	0.02 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Corner site that is integrated with the residential area	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Play area nearer the original village	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Direct	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)		

Site No. 4f	General Information	
Name and address of site	Reap Lane	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known		
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	WPBC Maintained informal recreation area with defined children's play area	
Community served by the potential LGS		
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site		
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Integral part of housing area	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Central focus	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Direct	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)		

Site No. 4g	General Information	
Name and address of site	Sweet Hill Play Area	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known		
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Grassed area with playground	
Community served by the potential LGS	Southwell	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site		
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Constructed as part of housing development	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Yes	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Identified with housing development	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Direct	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Amenity Value	

Site No. 4i	General Information	
Name and address of site	Westcliff Play Area	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	WPBC	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained by WPBC	
Community served by the potential Local Green Space	Westcliff	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	0.18 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Adjacent green space. Limited play spaces in area	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?		
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Within	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Parish/Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	As above	

Site No. 8a	General Information	
Name and address of site	<b>Liberty Rd</b>	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Area managed by the Land Trust	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained by Land Trust. Improvements funded under Growth funding	
Community served by the potential LGS	Potentially Castletown and new development at Castle Court	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and “local nature” of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	0.16 ha	
Is the site an “extensive tract of land”?	No	
Is the proposed site “local in character”? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Part of development of site	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Provides a setting to Portland Castle	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Identified part of development	
Evidence that “the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves”		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Adjacent	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No although not currently seen as a community space	
Evidence that the green area is “demonstrably special” to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area “holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty,” (if applicable)		

Site No. 8b	General Information	
Name and address of site	<b>Entrance Green, Victoria Square</b>	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Part WPBC/ Part HCA-Land Trust	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Open grass area	
Community served by the potential LGS	Underhill – The area was designated as a community facility when the Officer’s Field development took away the Naval Playing Fields. It is also part of flood alleviation network and setting of the two pillars public art installation. Regular used for sports and other leisure activity groups. It is also an open space next to the Helicopter training base	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan? If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	No. Unlikely as part of flood alleviation network  N/A	
Size, scale and “local nature” of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	Approx. 3 ha	
Is the site an “extensive tract of land”?	The immediate area then extends towards the previous SAR helicopter base	
Is the proposed site “local in character”? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Previously the area was the location for the railway station and as such the open nature represents a continuation of a gateway to Portland (Victoria Square)	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	As above	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes as above	
Evidence that “the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves”		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Is used by specific groups and some community activity takes place there. It is also the location for the Annual Portland Fair.	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is “demonstrably special” to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area “holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty,” (if applicable)	As above	

Site No. 8c	General Information	
Name and address of site	West Weares Community Green Area	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known		
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?	WPBC / the Town Council and the Hut Owners Association own various part of the site	
Description/Current Condition	Various areas are maintained by different agencies	
Community served by the potential LGS	The site includes an art installation	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site		
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Yes, see website link	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Underhill and Wider Community	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No – some areas are under private ownership but not barriers within designated section	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals	The area has recently benefitted from Coastal Revival Funding	
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chiswell_Earthworks">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chiswell_Earthworks</a>	

Site No. 8d	General Information	
Name and address of site	New Ground	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Private, MOD, WPBC	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Part of site has SSI designation, See Pictures	
Community served by the potential LGS	Portland	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	Recent planning application for a Visitor Centre refused	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	3.5 ha approx.	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	Yes	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, part of a gateway to Quarry Nature Park. Open and undeveloped setting important to protect	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	As above	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Portland and visitor community	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council	Planning Refusals WP/16/00216/FUL and WP/15/00310/COU	
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Open nature gateway to QNP and view across Underhill.	

Site No. 8e	General Information	
Name and address of site	Market Site, Opposite Portland Heights	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Private Ownership	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained grassland	
Community served by the potential LGS	Portland	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	There has been previous applications for caravan sites all of which were refused on appeal	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	Yes	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	Approx. 3 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	Yes	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, its open nature is part of the gateway which adds to the visual impact of entering Tophill and expansive views therefrom	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	As above	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes, for reasons above	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Central to the Portland Community	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	The site is not located in proximity to any homes. A market is held on Tuesdays in summer	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council	Planning Appeals WP/15/00242/FUL and WP/14/01036/COU	
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Planning Appeals	

Site No. 8f	General Information	
Name and address of site	Portland Bill Common	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	Crown Estate/Court Leet	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained grassland - setting of Lighthouse	
Community served by the potential LGS	Portland Bill and wider community	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site		
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	Yes	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, integral to character of Portland Bill	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Linking Bill with Cottages	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?		
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Character Study	

Site No. 8fa	General Information
Name and address of site	<b>Portland Bill Smaller Greens</b>
Site location plan	See Appendix C
Ownership of site, if known	Crown Estate/Court Leet
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?	
Description/Current Condition	Maintained
Community served by the potential LGS	Portland Bill and Wider Community- setting of Lighthouse
Planning History	
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	Yes, in regard to huts
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space	
Area of proposed site	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No, not individually
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, integral to character of Portland Bill
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Are greens integral to setting of huts and should have a higher degree of protection?
Need for Local Green Space	
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"	
How far is the site from the community it serves?	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community	
Evidence of support from Town Council	
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals	
Evidence of support from community leaders	
Evidence of support from other groups	
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Character Study

Site No. 8g	General Information	
Name and address of site	<b>Weston Green</b>	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known	DCC/WPBC	
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Open Green maintained by WPBC	
Photographs of site	See over	
Community served by the potential Local Green Space	Weston	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site		
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, integral part of Weston Village. Previously swings were located on part of the site.	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Central to Weston Village	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Central to Village	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Parish/Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Conservation Area assessments designated as Village Green	

Site No. 8ga	General Information	
Name and address of site	Gooseberry Green	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known		
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Open Green maintained by WPBC	
Photographs of site	See over	
Community served by the potential Local Green Space	Weston	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?	No	
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	0.2 ha	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Yes, adjacent to Weston Village. Site of Gooseberry Fayre annual event but not run recently	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	Regarded as part of Weston Village	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Adjacent to Village	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Parish/Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Conservation Area assessments. See also <a href="http://www.geoffkirby.co.uk/Portland/685710/#Pond">http://www.geoffkirby.co.uk/Portland/685710/#Pond</a>	

Site No. 8h	General Information	
Name and address of site	Southwell Green	
Site location plan	See Appendix C	
Ownership of site, if known		
Is the owner of the site aware of the potential designation? Do they support the designation?		
Description/Current Condition	Maintained area of grass	
Community served by the potential Local Green Space	Southwell has no community green. The area marked in red could be a possible candidate	
Planning History		
Is there currently a planning application for this site?		
Is the site allocated for development in the Local or Neighbourhood Plan?	No	
If allocated, could part of the overall site still be used as a Green Open Space?	N/A	
Size, scale and "local nature" of proposed Local Green Space		
Area of proposed site	0.72 ha approx.	
Is the site an "extensive tract of land"?	No	
Is the proposed site "local in character"? e.g. does the site feel as though it is part of the local area and why?	Part of open nature of area. Adjacent to Academy and could provide a sports/amenity space as well	
How does it connect physically, visually and socially to the local area?	By removal of fence it could offer a linking space into the Academy	
Need for Local Green Space		
Is there a need for a local green space in this location?	Yes, Southwell has no village green	
Evidence that "the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves"		
How far is the site from the community it serves?	Potentially central	
Are there any barriers to the local community accessing the site from their homes?	No	
Evidence that the green area is "demonstrably special" to the local community		
Evidence of support from Parish/Town Council		
Evidence of support from other local community groups or individuals		
Evidence of support from community leaders		
Evidence of support from other groups		
Evidence to show that the green area "holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty," (if applicable)	Preserves open nature of this area. Potential community amenity	

## Green Space Review – Candidate Policy Areas

The following categories of open space will be scheduled for consideration within the Neighbourhood Plan as to the need for specific policy statements.

### **1) Parks and Gardens – LGS Proformas to be completed**

- a. Victoria Gardens
- b. Easton Gardens
- c. Governors Community Gardens and Bowling Green
- d. Portland Castle Gardens
- e. Ladymead Gardens
- f. Pennsylvania Gardens (already has policy designation)

Within these gardens are also other areas such as Play Areas, Tennis Courts etc

### **2) Sports Fields (which can show active use) – Separate Policy with listing and background details**

- a. Osprey Quay School Playing Field
- b. Grove Playing Fields
- c. The Stadium Football Ground – The Grove
- d. Portland United Football Ground
- e. Weston Rd School Playing Field (includes a MUGA)
- f. Weston St Playing Field -East
- g. Weston St Playing Field – West
- h. Royal Manor School Tennis Courts and Sports Field (setting of St Georges Church)
- i. Red Triangle Cricket Ground (setting of St Georges)
- j. Atlantic Academy Sports Field
- k. St Georges School – Sports Field area- school use
- l. Grove Cricket Bowl

### **3) Allotments and Community Orchards and Gardens (Active Use) - Allotments subject to allotment policy. Community Gardens etc subject to a LGS Review proforma**

- a. Chiswell Walled Gardens
- b. Clovens Rd Allotments
- c. Incline Community Orchard
- d. South of Grove Rd – Allotments
- e. Governors Garden – Allotments
- f. Weston St Allotments
- g. Westcliff near Blacknor
- h. Rear Avalanche Rd – Allotments
- i. Wide St (near Portland Engineering)

### **4) Childs/Young Persons Play/Activity Areas (Areas which are self-contained will be subject to LGS Review Proforma) Areas which are within larger parks will be listed and cross referred.**

- a. Church Lane Play Area 0-12
- b. Victoria Gardens Play Area
- c. Easton Gardens Play Area
- d. West Weares Play Area (MUGA)
- e. The Grove Play Area (0-14)
- f. Reap Lane Play Area 0-8

- g. Sweet Hill Play Area
- h. Governors Garden Play Area
- i. Westcliff
- j. Skatepark

**5) Graveyards (No policy but separate listing on LP Green Space Review)**

- a. Strangers Graveyard
- b. St Johns
- c. St Georges
- d. All Saints
- e. St Andrews- Southwell
- f. Old St Andrews (Church Ope)
- g. St Peters
- h. Weston Cemetery
- i. Naval Cemetery

**6) Heritage Paths (designation) – paths which have integral heritage assets or narrative- subject to Heritage Path policy**

- a. Merchants Incline
- b. Merchants Railway
- c. Belle Vue Terrace/Higher Lane
- d. Cemetery Rd and East Weares Heritage
- e. Old Hill
- f. Legacy Trail and linked gulleys
- g. Church Ope
- h. Railway Line
- i. Southwell Ancient Cart Tracks
- j. Quarry Haul roads
- k. SW Coastal Path
- l. Verne Hill

**7) Settings of Character and Heritage Assets and Landforms – proformas to be completed but for reference only**

- a. Portland Hospital – Underground hospital
- b. Doctors, Captains and Admirals House
- c. Hambro Car Park (areas identified under SHLAA)
- d. West of Old Hill
- e. St Georges
- f. St Peters
- g. Rufus Castle – Castle Gardens
- h. Nicodemus and Engine Shed, Admiralty
- i. Withey's Croft Wall
- j. East Weare Battery and Camp
- k. Portland Windmills
- l. Culverwell
- m. Portland Lighthouses
- n. Pulpit Rock
- o. Wide St, Weston Rd, Weston St, Wakeham verges (droveways)
- p. East Weare Piers
- q. Verne Yeates (Cenotaph, Rings, Memory Stones)

- r. Verne LNR
- s. High Angle Battery
- t. Sawmills Tavern Area
- u. South of Augusta Rd

**8) Community Greens (proformas to be completed)**

- a. Liberty Rd
- b. Entrance Green -Victoria Square (setting of pillars)
- c. West Weares
- d. New Ground (planning evidence)
- e. Market Site (planning evidence)
- f. Portland Bill Common
- g. Weston Greens
- h. Candidate Southwell Green

**9) Estate Greens (proformas to be completed)**

- a. Verne Common and East Weares (some identified under SHLAA 2015)
- b. Haylands & Furlands (some SHLAA 2105) /Pound Piece/Westcliff & Courtlands/Southwell Estates

## Appendix C Site Location Plans

To be added to website version

## ACCESSIBILITY ACTION PLAN CONSULTATION

The Department for Transport is seeking feedback on a draft accessibility action plan which sets out our proposals to improve the travel experience for people with disabilities. The action plan covers a range of transport modes including:-

- aviation
- rail
- buses
- taxis

The document also considers ways in which we can improve public space to make it more accessible for people with disabilities.

The links below take you to the [gov.uk](https://www.gov.uk) web page, the PDF copy of the consultation, a word copy and an easy read copy.

The closing date for responses is 15<sup>th</sup> November 2017 at 11.45 pm and responses should be sent to [AAPConsultation@dft.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:AAPConsultation@dft.gsi.gov.uk)

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/draft-transport-accessibility-action-plan>  
[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/638404/accessibility-action-plan-consultation.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/638404/accessibility-action-plan-consultation.pdf)

[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/638652/accessibility-action-plan-consultation.doc](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/638652/accessibility-action-plan-consultation.doc)

[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/640098/accessibility-action-plan-consultation-easy-read-version.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/640098/accessibility-action-plan-consultation-easy-read-version.pdf)