

PORTLAND TOWN COUNCIL

Council Offices

52 Easton Street

Portland

DT5 1BT

Tel: 01305 821638

E-mail: office@portlandtowncouncil.gov.uk

5th October 2016

Dear Councillor / Management Group Member

You are hereby summoned to attend a **MEETING** of the **NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**, to be held in the **PETER TRIM HALL, ST. GEORGE'S CENTRE, REFORNE, PORTLAND** on **WEDNESDAY, 12TH OCTOBER 2016** commencing at **7.00 pm**, when the business set out below will be transacted.

It is the Council's intention that all meetings of the Council and its committees be recorded aurally.

Yours faithfully

Ian Looker
Town Clerk

AGENDA

1. **Apologies for Absence**
2. **Declarations of Interest** – to receive any declarations from Councillors or Officers of pecuniary or non-pecuniary interests regarding matters to be considered at this meeting, together with a statement on the nature of those interests
3. **Minutes of the Meetings held on 29th June 2016 and 7th September 2016** (attached)
4. **Minute Update and Matters Arising from the Minutes**
5. **Open Forum** – to receive questions and comments from the public regarding the Neighbourhood Plan
6. **Plan Update and Project Budget** – to receive a report from Mr Matthews and consider any necessary actions (see attached)
7. **Progressing Plan Policies** – to discuss the theme areas and evidence collection, plus receive an update report (see attached)
8. **Exclusion of Press and Public** (discretionary)
“That pursuant to the provisions of Section 1(2) of the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for Agenda Item(s) ... by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.”
9. **Date of Next Meeting**
The Management Committee’s next meeting is scheduled to be on Wednesday, 9th November 2016 at Peter Trim Hall, St. George’s Centre, Reforne at 7pm.

PLAN UPDATE AND PROJECT BUDGET

Request by PCP for Expenditure Reimbursement

Recent policy guidance has indicated the importance of transparency in progressing a Neighbourhood Plan. As you know we have tried to ensure that the meetings of the Management Group are fully in the public domain, are advertised and where an opportunity for the public to ask questions is included. This is particularly important on Portland where there is a high degree of sensitivity over land use.

In addition wherever relevant and possible the progress of the Neighbourhood Plan is reported at other Town Council meetings and budget approval is sought from full Council. The recent policy update work and the draft wording ahead of considering growth options coupled with securing funding support from the DCLG was felt an important stage in progress and additional public information was felt necessary. The following was undertaken:-

- A public information session was held and hard copies of various evidence reports were printed.
- Resource packs were lodged with Tophill Library for public access.
- A four page article was compiled which eventually featured in both July and August Free Portland News.
- The Portland Plan website was improved and updated.

As the Town Council were in the process of moving during this time the PCP decided to fund the above from funding secured to develop Community Economic Development. The PCP is therefore seeking the support of the Town Council for an appropriate level of reimbursement to allow this project stream to be progressed.

Costs

The following costs are scheduled:-

Printing of 50 copies of Evidence Reports - £321
Website Update and Licence - £320.80
Free Portland News – 4 page insertion - £114
Total - £755.80

Technical Studies

As reported at the last meeting in addition to the core support which is meeting Paul Weston's costs we have also obtained technical support to progress a Strategic Environment Assessment. The first part of this a scoping report has been prepared and submitted to the Environment Agency, Historic England and Natural England and it is envisaged that we will receive their responses by the end of September. This will allow a further report to be scheduled outlining possible impacts as we develop consultation resources for the Autumn consultation phase.

A meeting was held in Honiton with Paul, myself and Nick from AEComm and it was agreed that a further request for technical support using the Heritage and Character option to produce a Settlement study would be appropriate. This would allow an independent review of the sustainable development growth options available to again inform decisions by the

Town Council on consultation content. At the present time a submission has been prepared for Locality and we are just agreeing the final wording.

As set out in the policy update (Agenda Item 7) it is considered that securing a timely study would be an important component at this stage. The Management Group may wish to consider a contingency position of identifying further budget allocation for a request to Town Council for support.

Plan Progress and Context

Given the above can be achieved the Plan is in line to be finalised for a referendum for the middle of next year. The Planning authority are reviewing the Local Plan with a view to finalise this for 2019. It is considered important that we continue with our own Plan even though there are aspects which may overlap because it remains the most secure way of influencing the Local Plan review and also any potential developments which may occur in between. This is particularly important because if a Combined Authority is formed the planning area covered would be much wider and therefore Portland's unique characteristics could be given less consideration.

Andy Matthews
31st August 2016
[Edited]

Second Draft Policy Set - Update Summary, 30 August 2016

Introduction

The grid below sets out the current known position of the relevant policy evidence collection. This has been slightly delayed because of some personal commitments but the aim is to finish the collection of this evidence by the 30th September 2016 in order to stay on track with a report which sets out development options being consulted on during the autumn period. Key elements to achieve this are:-

- We are able to extract relevant information from the variety of growth corridor studies referred to in the schedule.
- We are able to secure a settlement character study which will help with the Town Council's decisions over sustainable growth options.

The Council may need to consider alternative funding options if timely technical support cannot be secured via Locality.

Key

Where a whole section has been highlighted this indicates a draft supporting paper has already been produced.

An underlined individual policy reference indicates that a settlement study would have the most impact in assisting with the evidence base.

Natural Environment		Evidence Requirements:	Lead Rod Wild supported by Leo HL
EN1	Development proposals which seek to prevent coastal erosion or flooding and protect local property and businesses will be supported. Land that may be required for flood defence works will be safeguarded.	Supporting text to cover: <u>Recent examples of flooding and cliff erosion</u> <u>Locations at risk</u> Reference to development plans or strategies of partners Evidence Requirements: Recent reports and strategies Views of key partners Map showing verified 'at risk areas'	SEA Evidence re Climate Change. Response from EA re SEA Known position of West Weares Deterioration Priory Corner Recent objections by EA to planning application in Chiswell Map showing flood risk areas (being updated) Work done by Penn Castle re chalet proposals. Local demand for armour stone
EN2	Development proposals that promote: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. the responsible use of natural resources, ii. the re-use and recycling of resources, and iii. the production and consumption of renewable energy will be supported as long as they do not lead to significant loss or damage to any of the island's natural assets including landscape character, amenity, historic environment, ecology and wildlife corridors, archaeological or geological values of the coast, shoreline, beaches, adjacent coastal waters, and countryside.	Supporting text to cover: Types of development that are preferred and would be supported <u>Examples of natural assets to conserved and locations</u> Reference any incidents of damage or limits that need to be set Evidence Requirements: Recent reports and strategies Community consultation results	SEA evidence report to determine options Heritage and Character study to inform growth potential and choices
EN3	The following areas (listed below and identified on map B) are designated as being of 'Local Ecological Significance' and should be protected from the impact of	Supporting text to cover: <u>List of areas and short descriptions</u> Evidence Requirements:	Original was to use Env 3 Green Infrastructure Policy as baseline areas. Add with known green areas which

	<p>development. Development in the vicinity of these sites should demonstrate how the proposal will support opportunities for protecting and enhancing species, populations and linking habitats, providing mitigation and compensation measures where appropriate (in accordance with Local Plan Policy Env.2) List of sites</p>	<p>Criteria and identification of areas Maps and descriptions Statutory and non-statutory designations Endorsement by objective body such as Wildlife Trust</p>	<p>contain relevant requirements and can be demonstrated to add to network. Meeting with Leo and Rod held to discuss this. Paul however considered that we had to use LP policy Env 1 and 2 as baseline. Env 3 could be reviewed with a NP enhancement once settlement study indicated sustainable growth levels. Cross refer to work going on with CR1 and CR2 (Dave Symes) To be written up. Review appeal decision for recent planning applications in Verne Yeates</p>
EN4	<p>Development proposals for energy generating infrastructure using renewable or low carbon energy sources, including wind and tidal power, will be supported provided that there will be no unacceptable effects on the:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. visual impact in the immediate locality and the wider area ii. amenity of nearby dwellings iii. local landscape, countryside and shore iv. highway safety and traffic generation v. sites of local nature conservation and archaeological importance. <p>Proposals for installations will need to include specific assessments related to these criteria. Proposals for wind farms and wind turbines will be supported, but only in areas identified on map A showing 'Wind Energy Search Areas'. Particular support will be given to community-based renewable and low carbon energy generation initiatives.</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: Opportunities – wind, tidal etc Preferred locations Description of what is acceptable and what is not Definition of community-based initiative Evidence of community support Evidence Requirements: Recent technical reports/scoping studies Community consultation results Previous developments and proposals Any approaches from partners</p>	<p>Feasibility study still being progressed with Department responsibilities now transferred to DCLG. Awaiting further update. Similar sized scheme tidal which could operate off Bill has been approved for the Isle of Wight review this scheme. Review technical requirements for land proposals and indicate possible sites. Recent issues with community biomass scheme at Officers Field (review impact) Choices relevant to possible changes with exiting EU</p>
EN5	<p>Proposals that further the creation of a 'Quarries Nature Park' within the area shown on map C are supported provided:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. they are in keeping with the character and amenity of its surroundings; ii. the scale of the facility is related to the needs of the area; iii. there is safe and convenient access for potential 	<p>Supporting text to cover: Reasoning for boundary Description of aims and purpose of QNP Type of development envisaged Evidence Requirements: Any studies and reports? Community consultation results</p>	<p>Recent planning applications in Verne Yeates area. DWT background wording. LP existing proposals and mapping. Extent of Aspirational areas against other economic returns See EN3 above</p>

	<p>users;</p> <p>iv. any infrastructure is appropriately sited; and</p> <p>v. they adopt high levels of sustainability in design and construction.</p>		
EN6	<p>The re-use of redundant mines and quarries for the following purposes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Schemes which will benefit the local economy 2. Schemes that provide active recreation opportunities for local people 3. Tourism-related development appropriate in terms of scale and type <p>will be welcomed and development proposals will be supported provided they will not have any unacceptable impact on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The nearby natural or built environment b. the transport network and parking conditions c. residential amenity 	<p>Supporting text to cover: <u>What mines and quarries?</u> Relationship with policy NE5 What types of use/activities are preferred? Evidence Requirements: <u>Map of mines and quarries</u> Evidence of demand for specific activities Community consultation results</p>	<p>Number of sensitive developments possibly write up policy around types of use and general aims. Subtropolis (Kansas) used as an extreme example of how mining could be developed. Possible transport development opportunities. Consultation work for Stonehills. Ongoing issues re Southern Coastal Strip. Position of surface development (housing etc). SWOT grid on benefit of mining and locations. Demand for armour stone (climate change)</p>
EN7	<p>Development will be supported that maintains or enhances the character and setting of a heritage asset and enables the asset to be used in an appropriate manner.</p> <p>Development proposals that affect a building or structure on the Local Heritage List must demonstrate how they protect or enhance the said building or structure.</p> <p>Any renovations or alterations of buildings or structures identified on the Local Heritage List should be designed sensitively, and with careful regard to its historical and architectural interest and setting.</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: <u>Examples of local heritage assets</u> and reasoning Reference to any schemes in the pipeline <u>WPBC view on list of local assets</u> Evidence Requirements: Map of local assets by type – any surveys/reports/feasibility studies Community consultation results</p>	<p>Review SEA report Analyse Portland archaeological inventory of sites and finds – Susan Palmer Public Sector estate review Settlement Study (tbc) Recent planning applications (listed buildings) Letter from Planning Authority re buildings of importance (not listed). Review Portland Lodge design issues</p>
EN8	<p>Development proposals to protect, conserve and/or enhance the historic jetties of Portland will be supported.</p> <p>The renovation or alteration of buildings or structures should be designed sensitively, and with careful regard to the jetty's historical interest and setting.</p>	<p><u>List of jetties</u> Current state and potential Evidence Requirements: Views of owners</p>	<p><u>Current work occurring at Crabbers Wharf</u> List of Jetties mostly on East Coast</p>
EN9	<p>In order to prevent coalescence of settlements, development that would prejudice the aim of maintaining the separation and distinct character of our settlement</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: Character distinctions – settlement areas concerned with reasoning</p>	<p>Heritage and Character study Paul has a gap policy if needed.</p>

	areas as identified on map D will not be supported.	Map of areas Evidence Requirements: Settlement Character Study	
EN10	Proposals to improve the public realm in the following areas through the introduction of high quality pavements, signage, street furniture and/or public art installations will be supported: List of locations	Supporting text to cover: <u>Brief description of locations and reasons why improvements are needed</u> Examples of good practice and standards Anything in the pipeline? Evidence Requirements: Results of community consultation Any reports on current townscape and public realm	Further work review. Heritage and Character study will assist Growth Corridor Heritage and Culture Study
Business and Employment			Lead AM – supported by Economic Vision Board
BE1	Development proposals that result in the loss of existing employment sites or premises will only be supported if they have been empty for over 18 months and during that time actively marketed at the current market rate without securing a viable alternative employment use.	Supporting text to cover: Need for local jobs and enterprise Relevance of existing local premises to economic strategy and new business development Evidence Requirements: Local economic strategy Business surveys	Employment/Training study
BE2	Proposals which lead to the improvement, modernisation or upgrading of current employment sites and premises will be welcomed and supported, subject to: i. there being no adverse impacts on the amenity of neighbours, visitor attractions and facilities and the character of the area; ii. it will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the transport network and parking conditions; and iii. it will not have any other unacceptable environmental impact.	Supporting text to cover: Relevance to economic strategy and new business development Examples of acceptable up-grading Evidence Requirements: Local economic strategy Business surveys Survey of business premises – need to improve and modernise	Heritage and Character Study Employment/Training Study Public Sector Asset review
BE3	The development of small business hubs/centres is supported subject to: i. there being no adverse impacts on the amenity of neighbours, visitor attractions and facilities and the character of the area; ii. it will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the transport network and parking conditions; and iii. it will not have any other unacceptable environmental impact.	Supporting text to cover: Role and value of business hub in context of economic strategy Type of hub that would be supported Need for space and clustering Value of existing buildings being converted Evidence Requirements: Local economic strategy	Employment/Training study Public sector asset holding review Heritage and Museum study SEA Report. Recent losses of workspaces

		Evidence of demand	
BE4	<p>Development proposals to create new business premises suitable for businesses operating in the area's acknowledged growth industries will be supported within or adjacent to settlement areas except:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. where the development would result in significant adverse environmental or highway problems; ii. where general access would be limited; iii. where there would be a significant adverse impact on neighbours as a result of noise, light pollution, increased traffic levels, increased flood risk or inadequate provision of parking; or iv. where the development would result in the loss of dwelling-houses. 	<p>Supporting text to cover: What are the growth industries – how are they determined</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Local economic strategy Evidence of recent demand by type Marketing strategy</p>	<p>As above Accommodation needs study Land Trust Development</p>
BE5	<p>Development proposals for the use of part of a dwelling for office and/or light industrial uses, and for small scale free standing buildings within its curtilage, extensions to the dwelling or conversion of outbuildings for those uses provided that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. other than minor ancillary support, servicing and maintenance, all work activities are carried out only by the occupants of the dwelling; and ii. no significant and adverse impact arises to nearby residents or other sensitive land uses from noise, fumes, odour or other nuisance associated with the work activity; and iii. any extension or free standing building shall be designed having regard to policies in this Plan and should not detract from the quality and character of the building to which they are subservient by reason of height, scale, massing, location or the facing materials used in their construction. 	<p>Supporting text to cover: Local economic strategy – relevance Examples of suitable home-working proposals</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Growth in home working Evidence of demand Community consultation Issues/barriers</p>	
BE6	<p>A masterplan approach, based on a private, public and community sector partnership, to realise the economic and employment potential of the area designated on map E is supported.</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: Outline brief including community objectives Partners involved Map of study area</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Economic strategy recognising concept and value of approach Feasibility or scoping study Evidence of partnership development</p>	<p>Meeting of relevant stakeholders being conducted under work of Economic Board. Ongoing discussions re SAR site</p>

BE7	Development proposals that increase relevant training and further education opportunities will be supported.	<p>Supporting text to cover: Definition of “relevant” Needs and demands assessment</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Community consultation Any relevant studies Recent proposals</p>	A number of relevant LEP bids submitted under Growth Deal 3. IPACA Southwell site FE Area review for Dorset commencing in September
	Housing		Lead – Jim Draper – LPA conducting annual land review
HO1	Proposals for the development of small-scale housing schemes on brownfield land will be supported as long as they provide a minimum of 40% of affordable housing on the site.	<p>Supporting text to cover: Definition of ‘brownfield’ (probably from NPPF) Relationship to settlement area policies Reason for 40% or whatever alternative % is agreed</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Availability of brownfield sites Reasoning behind affordability % Definition of what affordable means Who would be eligible for affordable housing (may need a further policy)</p>	Settlement study 2015 SHLAAR outcomes Current SHLAAR review NP policy changes which allows NP to re-organise Structured analysis of Housing need now required. Recent policy changes which link CIL contribution for 5 units or more to AONB area. Portland now 10 units of more. 6-10 cash can be paid after development.
HO2	Proposals for new housing development brought forward to meet a demonstrable local market or affordability need and which seek to retain the dwellings as community assets in perpetuity (for example through Community Land Trusts) are supported.	<p>Supporting text to cover: Problems of local housing market <u>Local interest in CLT and why</u> TC commitment to alternative housing provision Possible development areas – exception site</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Progress on CLT Description of local need and lack of affordability</p>	CED funding received to progress incorporation of PCP or Land Trust. Funding bid submitted to Locality for support. Housing need analysis update
HO3	Development proposals of five and more dwellings should demonstrate how they will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. help meet a local housing need ii. include a majority of small dwellings (1 or 2 bedroom dwellings) iii. add to the variety of local house types and styles iv. respect the character and appearance of the locality v. be of high quality design and use locally appropriate materials and colours 	<p>Supporting text to cover: Local design context and principles Issues to be avoided e.g. on street parking</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Examples of recent development good and not so good Community consultation Reference to settlement area</p>	Recent design awards – Civic Society Review of planning applications where design elements are considered beneficial. Planning Aid resource. See HO2 re Portland’s planning status Settlement study

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> vi. incorporate renewable energy technologies vii. incorporate sustainable drainage systems viii. provide sufficient off-road parking spaces ix. include sufficient private and shared amenity space and external storage space commensurate with the size and type of dwelling and the likely needs of the occupiers 	character study	
HO4	<i>Relevant 'second home restriction' policy to be inserted following study and understanding of what is appropriate</i>	<p>Supporting text to cover: Reason for 2nd home policy Sustainable community issues Local housing needs</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Impact study Distortions in market Existing 2nd home clusters Tourism policy context and impact Community consultation</p>	<p>St Ives under review. Increasing concerns of impact of second homes and need to control sooner than later. Land Trust development Ask LP if map of number of homes available</p>
Transport			Lead AM – Corridor Studies being conducted - EV Board
TR1	Opportunities for public transport links to be developed and/or maintained between settlements and other destinations on the Island and between Portland and the mainland will be supported.	<p>Supporting text to cover: Value of public transport Importance of links <u>Any proposals in pipeline or feasibility studies</u></p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Any recent studies/proposals Community consultation Views of public transport providers</p>	DCC and DCP have commissioned a 'Transport and Movement Study for Growth Corridor which includes Strategic Routes Study Portland Parking, Transport and Movement Study Bus Route and Interchange Study A354 Corridor study
TR2	Improvements to the transport infrastructure and network in the interests of safety, increasing choice and/or reducing congestion are supported. Development proposals that serve to take through-traffic away from the following areas and roads (shown on map F) will be supported provided they will not have an unacceptable environmental impact: List of locations	<p>Supporting text to cover: <u>List of areas and issues</u> Community response Types of development that may be acceptable Need to consult community on detailed</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Accidents/safety Traffic surveys/issues Community consultation</p>	<p>As above Also recent work re preparation for move of Academy to Southwell. Current position of work conducted with Tara Hansford</p>
TR3	All development proposals must make adequate	Supporting text to cover:	As TR1

	<p>provision for off-street parking taking into consideration the type of development, the accessibility of the location, and the prevailing parking standards of Weymouth and Portland Borough Council.</p> <p>Development proposals that increase the number of public car parking areas will be supported provided that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> there is no significant negative impact on habitats and biodiversity; the loss of or damage to trees and hedgerows is minimised and if necessary mitigated; visual impact is minimised; nearby residential amenity is protected; and electric charging points are provided. 	<p>Need for more parking Need to minimise impact of parking areas What is unacceptable</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Community consultation Parking survey data</p>	
TR4	<p>Development proposals that improve accessibility or improve links to or extend the network of footpaths, bridleways and cycle-routes will be supported.</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: <u>Map of current network</u> Identifiable gaps Types of improvement needed Accessibility issues?</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: User surveys Strategic network – study and proposals</p>	<p>Possibly as above also work being discussed with Sustainable Transport Team SEA Report</p>
Shopping and Services			Lead – Sue Cocking
SS1	<p>Development proposals, which would result in the loss of existing local shopping facilities through re-development or change of use will only be supported if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> they include proposals for alternative local shopping provision nearby; or the existing building or its site is to be used for the delivery of community services; or it has been demonstrated that reasonable efforts have been made to secure their continued use for these purposes in accordance with policy BE1. 	<p>Supporting text to cover: Value of local shops Need for local services, with reference to types Define what is meant by “reasonable efforts”</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Community consultation Anything recent on need for local service delivery</p>	<p>Discussion with SC about thinking through future needs and opportunities Links to green spaces to allow market type start ups Settlement Character Study. Public sector service review</p>
SS2	<p>Support will be given for the development of small shops, including new build, extensions, alterations and changes of use, in the following locations and subject to other provisions of this Plan: List of locations (and map if necessary)</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: Value of local shops to community and sustainable travel <u>Locations needing local shops</u> Definition of “small shops”</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Evidence of community need Community consultation</p>	<p>Settlement Study</p>
SS3	<p>The following areas (delineated on map I) are designated as ‘local centres’</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: <u>List of local centres</u></p>	<p>Settlement Study</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Easton • Fortuneswell <p>Others to be added</p> <p>Development proposals that add to the diversity of facilities and services and hence the vitality and viability of these centres will be supported.</p>	<p><u>Description of centres – historic and modern</u> role/function</p> <p>Distinctions and character matters – from character study</p> <p>Local service needs</p> <p>Tourism/visitor roles</p> <p>Evidence Requirements:</p> <p>Recent trends and development</p> <p>Character/function study</p> <p>Traders survey</p> <p>Community consultation</p>	
	Community Recreation		Lead Dave Symes
CR1	<p>The following public open spaces, sports and recreational buildings and land (identified on map G) are very important to the local community and should be afforded protection in accordance with Local Plan Policy COM.5.</p> <p>List of sites and buildings</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover:</p> <p>List of recreation spaces</p> <p>Description of locations, their uses and value</p> <p>Community views</p> <p>Evidence Requirements:</p> <p>Open space assessments</p> <p>Open space standards comparison</p> <p>Recent surveys</p> <p>Community consultation</p> <p>User details</p> <p>Map of locations</p>	<p>List of recreation spaces -Description of locations, their uses and value</p> <p>Discussion with Dave about identifying areas and also links to Green Infrastructure Networks. Analysis work commenced.</p> <p>Also Public Sector Asset review and sites with large grounds and their links to GIN.</p>
CR2	<p>The areas listed below and identified on Map H are designated as Local Green Spaces and will be protected from development due to their particular local significance and community value:</p> <p>List areas designated as 'local green spaces'</p> <p>Proposals for development on this land that is not ancillary to the use of the land for recreational purposes will be resisted.</p> <p>Development proposals which lead to the loss of, damage to or adverse impact on these local green spaces will not be supported.</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover:</p> <p>Names and descriptions of areas</p> <p>NPPF Para. 77 matrix</p> <p>Community support</p> <p>Evidence Requirements:</p> <p>Sites and uses</p> <p>Owners views</p> <p>Community consultation</p>	<p>Names and descriptions of areas</p> <p>As above but also reviewing smaller spaces and the opportunity for connectivity. e.g Verne LNR-Hambro-Clovens Allotments-West Weares- Victoria Gardens-Merchants Incline</p>
CR3	<p>Proposals that provide additional community, social or recreation facilities for the direct benefit of young people</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover:</p> <p>Type of facilities needed</p>	<p>Type of facilities needed – are any being planned or in the pipeline at the</p>

	are supported where it is demonstrated, through direct engagement with recognised local youth organisations, that there is a local need and young people have been consulted and involved in developing the proposal.	Reference to viability and sustainability (ownership and management) Evidence Requirements: Recent developments/proposals Young people's surveys – needs and demands	moment? Youth service review Mapping existing provision Links to Public Sector Land Holding Review which includes Youth Services and Elderly Day services. Review of Health Services by CCG. MoJ position ?
CR4	The temporary use of buildings and open spaces for organised-events will be supported provided that the proposed temporary use: i. does not cause any significant adverse environmental problems; ii. would not have significant harmful impacts on the amenities of neighbouring residents; iii. would not have significant harmful impacts on the wider visitor experience; and iv. does not exceed one month, unless there is clear community and neighbour support for a longer period.	Supporting text to cover: The kind of temporary event that may be acceptable Any particular locations preferred? What is meant by "significant harm" Locations to be avoided – cross-reference to environmental policies Evidence Requirements: Previous experiences Evidence of demand – enquiries Previous successful events Relationship to tourism and visitor strategy/policies	Any particular locations preferred? Locations to be avoided – cross-reference to environmental policies Events location review commissioned to be reported on shortly
Sustainable Tourism			Leads Jo Atwell and Alex Sarginson – links with Tourism Groups
ST1	Sustainable tourism development proposals and/or extensions to or expansion of existing tourism uses within the area(s) delineated on map J, particularly involving the re-use of redundant sites and buildings will be supported in principle. Development proposals will need to demonstrate their sustainability credentials and that the use proposed will not have an adverse impact on the character and landscape of the Island but rather will promote its unique characteristics.	Supporting text to cover: Define sustainable tourism - making a low impact on the environment and local culture, while benefiting the local economy and helping to generate future employment for local people. Types of activities that are needed/wanted <u>Areas, locations and map</u> Need for a detailed study covering all aspects of sustainability and local benefits – include a list of criteria Relationship to large projects like Memo and Jurassica Evidence Requirements: Community consultation results Tourism strategy Method and logic to define area(s)	Types of activities that are needed/wanted Areas, locations and reference to map Relationship to large projects like Memo and Jurassica Corridor study markets gaps and accommodation needs Following Tourism Groups active Weymouth Area Marine, Environment and Tourism Partnership (Town Council) EV Board – Tourism Group (action plan) EV Board – CED Development – links to CCF Bid Memo and Jurassica active in securing sustainable development options and interest of Government.

			Study commissioned to look at gaps in tourism market and accommodation needs
ST2	<p>Proposals that further the creation of a network of tourist trails will be supported provided:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. the construction and appearance of new paths, tracks or links are appropriate in scale and sensitive to the character of the locality; ii. they avoid sensitive ecological areas and habitats; and iii. they provide for improved accessibility for wheelchairs and those with impaired mobility. <p>Signage and interpretation facilities should be in keeping with the established standards for local tourist trails; and, where appropriate, they further links to the strategic cycle network of the area.</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: Example of Legacy Trail Preferred starts and finish points Description of network desired Accessibility standards Reference to LP policy COM.7</p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Community consultation results Map of network(s)</p>	<p>Description of potential and network desired</p> <p>CCF Bid and also Transport and Movement study</p>
ST3	<p>Development proposals that enable the provision of new and additional marine berths and facilities at Osprey Quay, Castletown and Portland Port in the interests of increasing tourism will be supported.</p>	<p>Supporting text to cover: Benefits to tourism <u>Location map</u></p> <p>Evidence Requirements: Economic and/or tourist strategy</p>	<p>Location map</p> <p>Portland Port development</p>